UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,926	06/21/2006	Kim S. Petersen	667220877	5545
25269 7590 11/16/2007 DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST			EXAMINER	
			MONIKANG, GEORGE C	
	1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	., 50 2000		2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/574,926	PETERSEN, KIM S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George C. Monikang	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ag</u>	oril 2006					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.	· · —					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/574926.					
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/7/2006</u> . 6) Other:						
The state of the s						

10/574,926 Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: The Claim depends on claim 7 but the preamble starts with "listening device" which is the beginning of the preamble to independent claim 8. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krokstad et al, US Patent 5,276,739.

Re Claim 1, Krokstad et al discloses a method for processing the signals from two or more microphones (<u>abstract</u>) in a listening device which has a casing holding the microphones (<u>fig. 2: m1 & m2</u>), and which further comprises a signal processing unit which is to provide an output signal in correspondence with the microphone signals (<u>fig. 5a: DSP; abstract</u>) and suited to the users hearing whereby a receiver unit for delivering the output signal to the user is provided (<u>fig. 5a: SG; abstract</u>), whereby the signals from the microphones are analysed in order to detect when the casing of the listening device is being touched (<u>col. 12, lines 44-61</u>), whereby further the signal processing of the

10/574,926 Art Unit: 2615

signal processing unit changes whenever touching of the casing is detected (*col. 12*, *lines 44-61*).

Claim 8 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 2-5, 7 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krokstad et al, US Patent 5,276,739 as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Arcos et al, US Patent 5,396,560.

Re Claim 2, Krokstad et al discloses the method as claimed in claim 1, but fails to disclose whereby the short term energy in the signals from the microphones is determined, and where further the change in difference over time in the short term

Application/Control Number:

10/574,926 Art Unit: 2615

energy between the microphone signals is determined. However, Arcos et al does (*col.* 3, lines 23-53).

Taking the combined teachings of Krokstad et al and Arcos et al as a whole, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify the method of Krokstad et al with whereby the short term energy in the signals from the microphones is determined, and where further the change in difference over time in the short term energy between the microphone signals is determined as taught in Arcos et al (*col. 3, lines 23-53*) to integrate the microphone signals.

Re Claim 3, the combined teachings of Krokstad et al and Arcos et al disclsoe the method as claimed in claim 2, whereby the time related change in difference in the short term energy content in the microphone signals is used to determine the rate of change in difference between the short term energy of the microphone signals (<u>Arcos et al, col. 3, lines 23-53</u>).

Re Claim 4, the combined teachings of Krokstad et al and Arcos et al disclose the method as claimed in claim 2, whereby a value in the signal processing unit is changed whenever the rate of change in difference in the short term energy between the microphone signals reaches a pre-selected level in order to indicate that the casing is being touched (<u>Arcos et al. col. 3, lines 23-53: acoustical power which does not significantly change over time for about 10 secs could be set time level of touching the case to enact a change in the signal processing).</u>

Re Claim 5, the combined teachings of Krokstad et al and Arcos et al disclose the method as claimed in claim 3, whereby a microphone matching procedure is

Application/Control Number:

10/574,926 Art Unit: 2615

temporarily interrupted whenever it is determined that the casing is being touched (*Krokstad et al, col. 12, lines 44-61*).

Re Claim 7, the combined teachings of Krokstad et al and Arcos et al disclose the method as claimed in claim 3, whereby a lasting change in the signal processing is effected whenever it is determined that a non-accidental touch of the casing has occurred (*Krokstad et al, col. 12, lines 44-61*).

Re Claim 9, the combined teachings of Krokstad et al and Arcos et al disclose the listening device as claimed in claim 7, whereby a sound generator for generating a specific sound when touched is provide at the casing, such that the user may touch the sound generator whenever a user input to the hearing aid is desirable (*Krokstad et al*, col. 12, lines 44-61: different functions determines what kind of sound the user hears depending on the environment).

- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krokstad et al, US Patent 5,276,739 and Arcos et al, US Patent 5,396,560as applied to claim 3 above, in view of Le Bel, US Patent 6,307,482 B1.
- 7. Re Claim 6, the combined teachings of Krokstad et al and Arcos et al disclose the method as claimed in claim 3, but fails to disclose whereby the output signal to the user is temporarily attenuated whenever it is determined that the casing is being touched. However, Le Bel does (col. 3, lines 29-47: minimizing noise).
- 8. Taking the combined teachings of Krokstad et al, Arcos et al and Le Bel as a whole, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify the method of

Application/Control Number:

10/574,926

Art Unit: 2615

Krokstad et al and Arcos et al with whereby the output signal to the user is temporarily attenuated whenever it is determined that the casing is being touched as taught in Le Bel (col. 3, lines 29-47: minimizing noise) to minimize the noise level caused by the touching of the device.

## **Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Monikang whose telephone number is 571-270-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. alt Fri. Off 7:30am-5:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,926

Art Unit: 2615

Page 7

George Monikang

11/12/2007

VIVIAN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PROEXIT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CEPTER 2000